Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Hexaaguanickel(II) 4,4'-(1,2-dihydroxyethane-1,2-diyl)dibenzoate monohydrate

## Shi-Jie Li,<sup>a</sup> Shi-Wei Hu,<sup>a</sup> Wen-Dong Song,<sup>b</sup>\* Pei-Wen Qin<sup>c</sup> and Xiao-Tian Ma<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Food Science and Technology, Guangdong Ocean University, Zhanjiang 524088, People's Republic of China, <sup>b</sup>College of Science, Guangdong Ocean University, Zhanjiang 524088, People's Republic of China, and College of Agriculture, Guang Dong Ocean University, Zhanjiang 524088, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: songwd60@163.com

Received 4 October 2010; accepted 6 October 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.009 Å; R factor = 0.071; wR factor = 0.184; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.9.

In the title compound,  $[Ni(H_2O)_6](C_{16}H_{12}O_6)\cdot H_2O$ , the Ni<sup>II</sup> cation is located on a mirror plane and is coordinated by six water molecules, two of which are also located on the mirror plane, in a distorted octahedral geometry. The 4,4'-(1,2)dihydroxyethane-1,2-diyl)dibenzoate anion is centrosymmetric with the mid-point of the central ethane C-C bond located on an inversion center. The uncoordinated water molecule is located on a mirror plane. Extensive  $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonding is present in the crystal structure.

#### **Related literature**

For metal-organic networks constructed from benzenemulticarboxylate ligands, see: Wisser et al. (2008); Sun et al. (2006); Janiak (2003). For structures incorporating benzene-1,4-dicarboxylate, see: Carton et al. (2007); Manna et al. (2007); Banerjee et al. (2005).



#### **Experimental**

Crystal data [Ni(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>](C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>6</sub>)·H<sub>2</sub>O  $M_{\rm m} = 485.08$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/m$ a = 6.0189 (12) Åb = 20.436 (4) Å c = 8.6096 (17) Å $\beta = 103.95 \ (3)^{\circ}$ 

V = 1027.8 (4) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 2Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 1.01 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.21 \ \mathrm{mm}$   $R_{\rm int} = 0.036$ 

9071 measured reflections

2120 independent reflections

2024 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

#### Data collection

Rigaku/MSC Mercury CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (REQAB; Jacobson, 1998)  $T_{\rm min} = 0.751, T_{\rm max} = 0.816$ 

## Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.071$	142 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.184$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.03	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.56 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
2120 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.54 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

#### Table 1

H١	drogen	-bond	geometry	7 (	Á.	0,
<b>1 1</b> '	u ozen	oonu	200metri	( <b>1</b>	4 1.	

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O3-H10\cdots O1^{i}$	0.89	1.94	2.810 (6)	168
$O1W - H1W \cdot \cdot \cdot O1^{ii}$	0.84	1.87	2.684 (5)	162
$O2W - H3W \cdot \cdot \cdot O1$	0.84	2.01	2.821 (6)	163
$O2W - H4W \cdot \cdot \cdot O2^{ii}$	0.84	1.83	2.667 (6)	174
$O3W - H5W \cdot \cdot \cdot O5W^{iii}$	0.84	2.06	2.724 (10)	136
$O3W - H6W \cdot \cdot \cdot O1W^{iv}$	0.84	1.98	2.783 (8)	161
$O4W - H7W \cdot \cdot \cdot O5W^{v}$	0.84	2.23	3.017 (8)	157
O4W−H8W···O3 <sup>vi</sup>	0.84	2.05	2.840 (6)	157
$O5W - H9W \cdots O2$	0.84	1.95	2.776 (8)	168

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (ii) x - 1, y, z; (iii) x, y, z + 1; (iv) x + 1, y, z; (v) x - 1, y, z + 1; (vi)  $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$ .

Data collection: RAPID-AUTO (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: RAPID-AUTO; data reduction: CrystalStructure (Rigaku/MSC, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

The authors acknowledge Guangdong Ocean University for supporting this work.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: XU5046).

#### References

- Banerjee, S., Lassahn, P. G., Janiak, C. & Ghosh, A. (2005). Polyhedron, 24, 2963-2971.
- Carton, A., Mesbah, A., Perrin, L. & François, M. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, m948-m949.
- Jacobson, R. (1998). REQAB. Private communication to Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Janiak, C. (2003). Dalton Trans. pp. 2781-2804.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Manna, S. C., Zangrando, E., Ribas, J. & Chaudjuri, N. R. (2007). Dalton Trans. pp. 1383-1391.
- Rigaku (1998). RAPID-AUTO. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Rigaku/MSC (2002). CrystalStructure. Rigaku/MSC, The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sun, C. Y., Gao, S. & Jin, L. P. (2006). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 2411-2421.
- Wisser, B., Chamayou, A. C., Miller, R., Scherer, W. & Janiak, C. (2008). CrystEngComm, 10, 461-466.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, m1383 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810039917]

## Hexaaquanickel(II) 4,4'-(1,2-dihydroxyethane-1,2-diyl)dibenzoate monohydrate

## S.-J. Li, S.-W. Hu, W.-D. Song, P.-W. Qin and X.-T. Ma

#### Comment

Metal-organic networks constructed by benzene-multicarboxylato ligands, have attracted a great deal of recent interest (Wisser *et al.*, 2008; Sun *et al.*, 2006; Janiak *et al.*, 2003). Benzene-1,4-dicarboxylate with a 180° angle between the two carboxylic groups, can form short bridges *via* one carboxylato end and long bridges *via* the benzene ring, leading to a great variety of novel structures (Carton *et al.*, 2007; Manna *et al.*, 2007; Banerjee *et al.*, 2005). Considering that in mind, our group select a derivative of the benzene-1,4-dicarboxylate named 4,4'-(1,2-dihydroxyethane-1,2-diyl)dibenzoate to react with Ni(NO3)<sub>2</sub> to obtain novel metal-organic complex.

In figure 1, the title compound  $(C_{16}H_{12}O_6)[Ni6H_2O].H_2O$  is obtained under hydrothermal condition, which comprises one 4,4'-(1,2-dihydroxyethane-1,2 -diyl)dibenzoate anion, one  $[Ni6H_2O]^{2+}$  cation and a solvent water molecule, of which the  $[Ni6H_2O]^{2+}$  cation and solvent water is lying on mirror planes, and the anion is locating on an inversion center. the two carboxyl groups of the ligand are total deprotonated, indicated by a difference of the bond lengths, which are also lying in the plane of the benzene rings. and the Ni<sup>II</sup> center is coordinated by six water molecules instead of the 4,4'-(1,2-dihydroxyethane- 1,2-diyl)dibenzoate ligand. the O—H…O hydrogen bonding interactions between the carboxyl and hydroxyl of the ligands build an infinite chain along *a* axis. the chains,  $[Ni6H_2O]^{2+}$  cations and solvent water molecules was further linked by additional O—H…O hydrogen bonds, forming a three-dimensional network.

#### **Experimental**

A solution of 4,4'-(1,2-dihydroxyethane-1,2-diyl)dibenzoic acid (0.5 mol, 0.15 g) and Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mol, 0.14 g) and 20 ml water was stirred continuously, whose pH was adjusted to 7 by the addition of NaOH solution. The solution was then sealed in an autoclave equipped with a Teflon liner (20 ml) and heated at 373 K for 4 days. Crystals of the title compound were obtained by slow evaporation at room temperature.

#### Refinement

H atoms bound to C atoms were placed at calculated positions and were treated as riding on the parent atoms with C—H = 0.93 Å (aromatic) and 0.98 Å (CH) and with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(C)$ . H atoms of hydroxyl group and water molecules were located in a difference Fourier map and refined as riding with O—H = 0.85+\_0.01 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$  for water O atoms and O—H = 0.89±0.01 Å and 1.2  $U_{eq}(O)$  for hydroxyl.

**Figures** 



Fig. 1. The title compound, with the atom-numbering scheme. Non-H atoms are shown with 30% probability displacement ellipsoids (H atoms are represented by arbitrary spheres). [Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, -z; (ii) x, 0.5 - y, z.]

Fig. 2. The packing and hydrogen bonding of the title compound.

## Hexaaquanickel(II) 4,41-(1,2-dihydroxyethane-1,2-diyl)dibenzoate monohydrate

## Crystal data

$[Ni(H_2O)_6](C_{16}H_{12}O_6)\cdot H_2O$	F(000) = 508
$M_r = 485.08$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.567 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/m$	Mo <i>K</i> $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -P 2yb	Cell parameters from 3600 reflections
a = 6.0189 (12)  Å	$\theta = 1.4 - 28^{\circ}$
b = 20.436 (4)  Å	$\mu = 1.01 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 8.6096 (17)  Å	T = 293  K
$\beta = 103.95 \ (3)^{\circ}$	Block, green
$V = 1027.8 (4) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.30\times0.25\times0.21~mm$
7 = 2	

## Data collection

Rigaku/MSC Mercury CCD diffractometer	2120 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	2024 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.036$
ω scans	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.2^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.2^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (REQAB; Jacobson, 1998)	$h = -7 \rightarrow 7$
$T_{\min} = 0.751, T_{\max} = 0.816$	$k = -25 \rightarrow 25$
9071 measured reflections	$l = -10 \rightarrow 9$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.071$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.184$	H-atom parameters constrained

<i>S</i> = 1.03	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.040P)^2 + 10.P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2120 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$
142 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.56 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.54 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

## Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
Ni1	0.14235 (16)	0.2500	0.95708 (11)	0.0242 (3)
O1W	-0.2105 (8)	0.2500	0.8578 (6)	0.0279 (11)
H1W	-0.2488	0.2152	0.8065	0.042*
O2W	0.1795 (7)	0.1762 (3)	0.8058 (6)	0.0555 (14)
H3W	0.2947	0.1584	0.7850	0.083*
H4W	0.0631	0.1713	0.7301	0.083*
O3W	0.4872 (10)	0.2500	1.0567 (7)	0.0504 (18)
H5W	0.5246	0.2500	1.1572	0.076*
H6W	0.6021	0.2500	1.0175	0.076*
O4W	0.0917 (8)	0.3180 (2)	1.1214 (5)	0.0439 (11)
H7W	0.0201	0.3101	1.1918	0.066*
H8W	0.0439	0.3509	1.0647	0.066*
01	0.5811 (7)	0.1431 (2)	0.7095 (5)	0.0438 (11)
O2	0.8274 (7)	0.1532 (3)	0.5570 (6)	0.0559 (14)
O3	0.1710 (8)	-0.0731 (2)	0.0238 (6)	0.0478 (12)
H10	0.2666	-0.0921	0.1056	0.072*
C1	0.6426 (10)	0.1337 (3)	0.5802 (8)	0.0404 (15)
C2	0.4864 (9)	0.0953 (3)	0.4462 (7)	0.0352 (13)
C3	0.2822 (10)	0.0692 (3)	0.4681 (8)	0.0401 (14)
H1	0.2394	0.0765	0.5635	0.048*
C4	0.1421 (10)	0.0323 (3)	0.3473 (7)	0.0397 (14)
H2	0.0051	0.0155	0.3621	0.048*
C5	0.2046 (10)	0.0205 (3)	0.2063 (7)	0.0364 (14)
C6	0.5483 (10)	0.0834 (3)	0.3050 (8)	0.0416 (15)
H4	0.6852	0.1004	0.2903	0.050*
C7	0.4090 (11)	0.0465 (3)	0.1839 (8)	0.0432 (15)
Н3	0.4520	0.0392	0.0886	0.052*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

# supplementary materials

C8	0.0514 (10)	-0.0204 (3)	0.0746 (7)	0.0389 (14)
Н9	-0.0740	-0.0384	0.1158	0.047*
O5W	0.8270 (14)	0.2500	0.3307 (9)	0.097 (4)
H9W	0.8455	0.2192	0.3971	0.145*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(\text{\AA}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Ni1	0.0219 (5)	0.0290 (5)	0.0213 (5)	0.000	0.0045 (3)	0.000
O1W	0.024 (2)	0.026 (3)	0.032 (3)	0.000	0.003 (2)	0.000
O2W	0.028 (2)	0.076 (4)	0.056 (3)	0.008 (2)	-0.003 (2)	-0.036 (3)
O3W	0.027 (3)	0.099 (6)	0.021 (3)	0.000	-0.003 (2)	0.000
O4W	0.048 (2)	0.045 (3)	0.037 (2)	-0.002 (2)	0.0068 (19)	-0.010 (2)
01	0.036 (2)	0.041 (2)	0.045 (3)	-0.0026 (19)	-0.0069 (19)	-0.011 (2)
O2	0.032 (2)	0.071 (3)	0.058 (3)	-0.013 (2)	-0.002 (2)	-0.027 (3)
O3	0.050 (3)	0.030 (2)	0.052 (3)	0.004 (2)	-0.010 (2)	-0.007 (2)
C1	0.033 (3)	0.033 (3)	0.045 (4)	0.005 (3)	-0.012 (3)	-0.014 (3)
C2	0.029 (3)	0.028 (3)	0.039 (3)	0.003 (2)	-0.010 (2)	-0.009 (2)
C3	0.038 (3)	0.036 (3)	0.040 (3)	-0.001 (3)	-0.003 (3)	-0.006 (3)
C4	0.036 (3)	0.035 (3)	0.041 (3)	-0.007 (3)	-0.006 (3)	-0.003 (3)
C5	0.035 (3)	0.022 (3)	0.041 (3)	0.001 (2)	-0.013 (2)	-0.002 (2)
C6	0.031 (3)	0.039 (3)	0.047 (4)	-0.001 (3)	-0.005 (3)	-0.013 (3)
C7	0.039 (3)	0.041 (3)	0.041 (3)	0.002 (3)	-0.007 (3)	-0.010 (3)
C8	0.036 (3)	0.028 (3)	0.044 (3)	0.000 (2)	-0.009 (3)	-0.008 (3)
O5W	0.062 (5)	0.197 (12)	0.033 (4)	0.000	0.015 (4)	0.000

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

(7) (9) (9) (8) (0 (9)
6 (9) 6 (9) 6 (8) 60 6 (9) 6
(9) (8) (0 (9)
2 (8) 10 1 (9)
0 (9)
(9)
0
0
(9)
(7)
(8)
0
0
(12)
0
6
(6)
(6)
(0)
0 0 1 0 9 2

O2W <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O4W	89.8 (2)	C6—C2—C1	120.8 (6)
O2W—Ni1—O4W	174.6 (2)	C3—C2—C1	120.0 (6)
O3W—Ni1—O4W	90.87 (18)	C4—C3—C2	120.1 (6)
O2W <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O4W <sup>i</sup>	174.6 (2)	C4—C3—H1	119.9
O2W—Ni1—O4W <sup>i</sup>	89.8 (2)	C2—C3—H1	119.9
O3W—Ni1—O4W <sup>i</sup>	90.87 (18)	C5—C4—C3	120.5 (6)
O4W—Ni1—O4W <sup>i</sup>	85.0 (3)	C5—C4—H2	119.7
O2W <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O1W	89.75 (16)	C3—C4—H2	119.7
O2W—Ni1—O1W	89.75 (16)	C4—C5—C7	119.5 (5)
O3W—Ni1—O1W	179.4 (2)	C4—C5—C8	120.4 (6)
O4W—Ni1—O1W	88.68 (17)	C7—C5—C8	120.1 (6)
O4W <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O1W	88.68 (17)	C2—C6—C7	121.0 (6)
Ni1—O1W—H1W	109.7	С2—С6—Н4	119.5
Ni1—O2W—H3W	132.8	С7—С6—Н4	119.5
Ni1—O2W—H4W	112.6	C6—C7—C5	119.7 (6)
H3W—O2W—H4W	111.1	С6—С7—Н3	120.2
Ni1—O3W—H5W	115.1	С5—С7—Н3	120.2
Ni1—O3W—H6W	133.0	O3—C8—C5	112.6 (5)
H5W—O3W—H6W	111.9	O3—C8—C8 <sup>ii</sup>	106.6 (7)
Ni1—O4W—H7W	123.6	C5—C8—C8 <sup>ii</sup>	112.0 (6)
Ni1—O4W—H8W	103.2	O3—C8—H9	108.5
H7W—O4W—H8W	114.1	С5—С8—Н9	108.5
C8—O3—H10	111.6	C8 <sup>ii</sup> —C8—H9	108.5
O2-C1-O1	123.7 (5)		
O2—C1—C2—C6	-0.5 (9)	C3—C2—C6—C7	0.7 (9)
O1—C1—C2—C6	-179.2 (6)	C1—C2—C6—C7	177.9 (6)
O2—C1—C2—C3	176.7 (6)	C2—C6—C7—C5	-0.6 (10)
O1—C1—C2—C3	-2.0 (9)	C4—C5—C7—C6	0.6 (9)
C6—C2—C3—C4	-0.7 (9)	C8—C5—C7—C6	-179.6 (5)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-178.0 (6)	C4—C5—C8—O3	-126.7 (6)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.8 (9)	C7—C5—C8—O3	53.5 (8)
C3—C4—C5—C7	-0.7 (9)	C4—C5—C8—C8 <sup>ii</sup>	113.2 (8)
C3—C4—C5—C8	179.6 (5)	C7—C5—C8—C8 <sup>ii</sup>	-66.6 (9)
Symmetry codes: (i) $x$ , $-y+1/2$ , $z$ ; (ii)	-x, -y, -z.		

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
O3—H10…O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.89	1.94	2.810 (6)	168
O1W—H1W···O1 <sup>iv</sup>	0.84	1.87	2.684 (5)	162
O2W—H3W…O1	0.84	2.01	2.821 (6)	163
O2W—H4W⋯O2 <sup>iv</sup>	0.84	1.83	2.667 (6)	174
O3W—H5W···O5W <sup>v</sup>	0.84	2.06	2.724 (10)	136
O3W—H6W···O1W <sup>vi</sup>	0.84	1.98	2.783 (8)	161
O4W—H7W····O5W <sup>vii</sup>	0.84	2.23	3.017 (8)	157

# supplementary materials

O4W—H8W····O3 <sup>viii</sup>	0.84	2.05	2.840 (6)	157
O5W—H9W…O2	0.84	1.95	2.776 (8)	168
Symmetry codes: (iii) $-x+1, -y, -z+1$ ; (iv) $x-1, -z$	y, z; (v) x, y, z+1;	(vi) x+1, y, z; (vii) x-1	l, y, z+1; (viii) -x, y+1/	∕2, <i>−z</i> +1.







